

Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

### **ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

# ATTACKS IN MOBILE AD HOC NETWORKS

### Dr. Mukta Makhija

Professor, Department of Computer Application, Inmantec Institutions, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. drmuktamakhija20@gmail.com

### Abstract

A mobile ad hoc network (MANET) is a Protocols, dynamic wireless network that can be formed without any pre-existing infrastructure in which each node can act as a router. MANET has no clear line of defense, so, it is accessible to both legitimate network users and malicious attackers. In the presence of malicious nodes, one of the main challenges in MANET is to design the robust security solution that can protect MANET from various routing attacks. Different mechanisms have been proposed using various cryptographic techniques to counter measure the routing attacks against MANET. However, these mechanisms are not suitable for MANET resource constraints, i.e., limited bandwidth and battery power, because they introduce heavy traffic load to exchange and verifying keys. In this paper, the current security issues in MANET are investigated. Particularly, we have examined different routing attacks, such as flooding, black hole, link spoofing, Wormhole, and colluding misrelay attacks, as well as existing solutions to protect MANET protocols.

Keywords: MANET Security, Routing Communications Cryptography, and Data Security, Shared, Wireless Channel.

### 1. Introduction

A MANET is a collection of mobile nodes that can communicate with each other without the use of predefined infrastructure or administration. centralized Due to selforganize and rapidly deploy capability, MANET applied different to can be applications including battlefield communications, emergency relief scenarios, law enforcement, public meeting, virtual class room and other. Security-sensitive computing environments. There are 15 major issues and sub-issues involving in MANET such as routing, multicasting/broadcasting, location service, clustering, mobility management, TCP/UDP, IP addressing, multiple access, radio interface, bandwidth management, power management, security, fault tolerance, QoS/multimedia, and

Standards/products. Currently, the routing, power management, bandwidth management, radio.



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.



Interface and security are hot topics in boundary that separates the inside network MANET research. Although in this paper we from the outside world becomes blurred. On only focus on the routing protocols and the other hand, the existing ad hoc routing security issues in MANET. The routing protocols, such as (AODV), (DSR) , and protocols in MANET may generally be wireless MAC protocols, such as 802.11, categorized as: table-driven/proactive and typically assume a trusted and cooperative source-initiated (demand-riven)/reactive.

In proactive routing protocols, such as the can readily become a router and disrupt optimized link state routing (OLSR), nodes network operations by Intentionally disobeying obtain. Routes by periodic exchange of the protocol specifications. Recently, several topology information. In reactive routing research efforts introduced to counter against protocols, such as the adhoc on demand these malicious attacks. Most of the previous distance vector (AODV) protocol, nodes find work has focused mainly on providing routes only when required. The overall goal of preventive schemes to protect the routing the security solutions for MANET is to provide protocol in a MANET. Most of these schemes security services including authentication, are based on key management or encryption confidentiality, integrity, availability to the mobile users. In order to from joining the network. In general, the main achieve to this goal, the security solution drawback of these approaches is that they should provide complete protection spanning introduce a heavy traffic load to exchange and the entire protocol stack. We can categories verify keys, which is very expensive in terms of MANET security in 5 layers, such as the bandwidth-constraint for MANET nodes Application layer, Transport layer, Network with limited battery and limited computational layer, Link layer, and Physical layer. However, capabilities. The MANET protocols are facing we only focus on the network layer, which is different routing attacks, such as flooding, related to security issues to protect the ad hoc black hole; link withholding, link spoofing, routing and forwarding protocols. From the replay, wormhole, and colluding misrelay security design perspective, the MANETs have attack. A comprehensive study of these routing no clear line of defense. Unlike wired networks attacks and countermeasures against these that have dedicated routers, each mobile attacks in MANET can be found in the rest of node in an ad hoc network may function as a this paper is organized as follows. In next router and forward packets for other peer section, we discuss routing protocols in nodes. The wireless channel is accessible to MANET. Section 3 discusses current routing both legitimate network users and malicious attacks as well as countermeasures against such attackers. There is no well defined place where attacks in existing MANET protocols. traffic monitoring or access control mechanisms can be deployed. As a result, the

environment. As a result, a malicious attacker anonymity, and techniques to prevent unauthorized nodes



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

### **ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

### 2. Routing Protocols in Manet

MANET routing categorized 2 classes as: into driven/proactive and

Source-initiated (demand-driven)/reactive. In updates the following sections, we present the overview throughout the network in order to maintain of these protocols.

### 2.1 Table-Driven Routing Protocols

Table-driven routing protocols attempt to maintain consistent, up-to-date routing information from each node to every other node in the network. These protocols require each node to maintain one or more tables to store routing information, and they respond to changes in network topology by propagating updates throughout the network in order to maintain a consistent network view. The areas in which they differ are the number of routing-related tables necessary and the methods by which changes in network structure are broadcast. The following sections discuss some of the existing table-driven ad hoc routing protocols.

### 2.1.1 Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector (DSDV)

The Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector (DSDV) routing protocol is a tabledriven algorithm based on Bellman-Ford routing mechanism . The improvements made by to the Bellman-Ford algorithm include freedom from loops in routing tables. In DSDV every node in the network maintains a routing table in which all of the possible destinations within the network and the number of hops to each destination are recorded. Each entry is marked with a sequence number assigned by

the destination node. The sequence numbers protocols can be enable the mobile nodes to distinguish stale table- routes from new ones, thereby avoiding the formation of routing loops. Routing table are periodically transmitted table consistency. To help alleviate the potentially large amount of network traffic that such updates can generate, route updates can employ two possible types of packets: full dump and smaller incremental packets. Each of these broadcasts should fit into a standard-size of network protocol data unit (NPDU), thereby decreasing the amount of traffic generated. The mobile nodes maintain an additional table where they store the data sent in the incremental routing information packets. New route broadcasts contain the address of the destination, the number of hops to reach the destination, the sequence number of the information received regarding the destination, as well as a new sequence number unique to the broadcast. The route labeled with the most recent sequence number is always used. In the event that two updates have the same sequence Number, the route with the smaller metric is used in order to optimize (shorten) the path. Mobiles also keep track of the settling time of routes, or the weighted average time that routes to a destination will fluctuate before the route with the best metric is received. By delaying the broadcast of a routing update by the length of the settling time, mobiles can reduce network traffic and optimize routes by eliminating those broadcasts that would occur if a better route was discovered in the very near future.



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.



### 2.1.2 Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR) partial network topology and can build a route Protocol

protocol is a proactive routing protocol and nodes that can forward its routing messages. In based on periodic exchange of topology OLSR, a node selects its MPR set that can reach information. The key concept of OLSR is the all its two-hop neighbors. In case there are use of multipoint relay (MPR) to provide an multiple choices, the minimum set is selected efficient flooding mechanism by reducing the number of transmissions required. In OLSR, each node selects its own MPR from its neighbors. Each MPR node maintains the list of nodes that were selected as an MPR; this list is called an MPR selector list. Only nodes selected as MPR nodes are responsible for advertising, as well as forwarding an MPR selector list advertised by other MPRs. Generally, two types of routing messages are used in the OLSR protocol, namely, a HELLO message and a topology control (TC) message. A HELLO message is the message that is used for neighbor sensing and MPR selection.

In OLSR, each node generates a HELLO message periodically. A node's HELLO message contains its own address and the list of its one-hop neighbors. By exchanging HELLO messages, each node can learn a complete topology up to two hops. HELLO messages are exchanged locally by neighbor nodes and are not forwarded further to other nodes. A TC message is the message that is used for route calculation. In OLSR, each MPR node advertises TC messages periodically.

A TC message contains the list of the sender's MPR selector. In OLSR, only MPR nodes are responsible for forwarding TC messages. Upon receiving TC messages from all of the MPR nodes, each node can learn the

to every node in the network. For MPR Optimized link state routing (OLSR) selection, each node selects a set of its MPR as an MPR set.

### 2.1.3 Wireless Routing Protocol (WRP)

Wireless routing protocols (WRP) is a path-finding algorithm with the exception of avoiding the count-to-infinity problem by forcing each node to perform consistency checks of predecessor information reported by all its neighbors. WRP is a loop free routing protocol. Each node maintains 4 tables: distance table, routing table, linkcost table & message retransmission list table. Link changes are propagated using update messages sent between neighboring nodes.

messages Hello periodically are exchanged between neighbors. This protocol avoids count-toinfinity problem by forcing each node to check predecessor information.

### 2.1.4 Clusterhead Gateway Switch Routing (CGSR) Protocol

Clusterhead gateway switch routing (CGSR) protocol is based on a cluster multihop mobile wireless network with several heuristic routing schemes. The authors state that by having a cluster head controlling a group of ad hoc nodes, a framework for code separation (among clusters), channel access, routing, and bandwidth allocation can be achieved. A cluster head selection algorithm is utilized to elect a node as the cluster head using a

**JCS** International Journal of Computer Science

Scholarly Peer Reviewed Research Journal - PRESS - OPEN ACCESS



### http://www.ijcsjournal.com **Reference ID: IJCS-457**

Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

**ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

However, frequent cluster head changes can (AODV) adversely affect routing protocol performance since nodes are busy in cluster head selection algorithm previously described. It is typically rather than packet relaying. Hence, instead of Minimizes the number of required broadcasts invoking cluster head reselection every time by creating routes on a demand basis, while the cluster membership changes, a Least DSDV algorithm maintain a complete list of Cluster Change (LCC) clustering algorithm is routes. The authors of AODV classify it as a introduced. Using LCC, cluster heads only pure on demand route acquisition system, change when two cluster heads come into since nodes that are not on a selected path do contact, or when a node moves out of contact of not maintain routing acquisition or participate all other cluster heads. CGSR uses DSDV as the in routing table exchanges. In AODV, when a underlying routing scheme, and hence has source node S wants to send a data packet to a much of the same overhead as DSDV. destination node D and does not have a route However, it modifies DSDV by using a to D, it initiates hierarchical cluster-head-to-gate-way routing broadcasting a route request (RREQ) to its approach to route traffic from source to neighbors. The immediate neighbors who destination. Gateway nodes are nodes that are receive this RREQ rebroadcast the same RREQ within communication range of two or more to their neighbors. This process is repeated cluster heads. A packet sent by a node is first until the RREQ reaches the destination node. routed to its cluster head, and then the packet Upon receiving the first arrived RREQ, the is routed from the cluster head to a gateway to destination node sends a route reply (RREP) to another cluster head, and so on until the cluster the source node through the reverse path head of the destination node is reached. The where the RREQ arrived. The same RREQ that packet is then transmitted to the destination.

### 2.2 On demand-driven reactive protocols

On demand protocols create routes only when desired by source nodes. When a Node requires a route to destination, it initiates route discovery process within the network. This process is completed once a route is found 2.2.2 Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) possible route permutations or all are examined. Once a route is discovered and is an on-demand routing protocol that is based established, it is maintained by maintenance procedure until either destination are required to maintain route caches that becomes inaccessible along every path from contain the source routes of which the mobile is source or route is no longer desired.

# distributed algorithm within the cluster. 2.2.1 Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector

AODV is an improvement of DSDV route discovery by arrives later will be ignored by the destination Node. In addition, AODV enables intermediate nodes that have sufficiently fresh routes (with destination sequence number equal or greater than the one in the RREQ) to generate and send An RREP to the source node.

Dynamic source routing (DSR) protocol route on the concept of source routing. Mobile nodes Entries the route aware. in cache are



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

### **ISSN: 23** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

The protocol consists of two major phases: operate in a highly dynamic mobile networking route discovery and route maintenance. When environment. It is source initiated and provides a mobile node has a packet to send to some multiple destination, it first consults its route cache to source/destination determine whether it already has a route to the concept of TORA is the localization of control destination. If it has an unexpired route to the messages to a very small set of nodes near the destination, it will use this route to send the occurrence of a topological packet. On the other hand, if the node does not accomplish this, nodes need to maintain have such a route, it initiates route discovery routing information about adjacent (one-hop) by broad- casting a route request packet. This nodes. The protocol performs three basic route request contains the address of the functions: route creation, route maintenance, destination, along with the source node's and route erasure. address and a unique identification number. Each node receiving the packet checks whether it knows of a route to the destination. If it does not, it adds its own address to the route record of the packet and then forwards the packet along its outgoing links. To limit the number of route requests propagated on the outgoing links of a node, a mobile only forwards the route request if the request has not yet been seen by the mobile and if the mobile's address does not already appear in the route record. A route reply is generated when the route request reaches either the destination itself, or an intermediate node which contains in its route cache an unexpired route to the destination. By the time the packet reaches either the destination or such an intermediate node, it contains a route record yielding the sequence of hops taken.

### 2.2.3 Temporary-Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA)

The Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA) is a highly adaptive loopfree distributed routing algorithm based on the

continually updated as new routes are learned. concept of link reversal. TORA is proposed to routes for desired any pair. The kev design change. То

### 2.2.4 Relative Distance Diversity Micro Routing (RDMAR)

Relative Distance Micro diversity Routing (RDMAR) protocol estimates the distance between two nodes using the relative distance estimation algorithm in radio loops. RDMAR is a source initiated and having features similar to associatively based routing (ABR) protocol. RDMAR limits the range of route searching in order to save the cost of flooding a route request message into the entire wireless area. It is assumed in RDMAR that all ad hoc mobile hosts are migrating at the same fixed speed. This assumption can make good practical estimation of relative distance very difficult.

### 3. Routing Attacks in Manet

The malicious node(s) can attacks in MANET using different ways, such as sending fake messages several times, fake routing information, and advertising fake links to disrupt routing operations. In the following subsection, current routing attacks and its



ISSN: 2348-6600



http://www.ijcsjournal.com **Reference ID: IJCS-457** 

Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

### **ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

countermeasures against MANET protocols are AODV protocol. This technique is based on discussed in detail.

### 3.1 Flooding Attack

In flooding attack, attacker exhausts the network resources, such as bandwidth and to а node's resources. such consume as computational and battery power or to disrupt routing operation to cause the severe degradation in network performance. For example, in AODV protocol, a malicious node can send a large number of RREQs in a short period to a destination node that does not exist in the network. Because no one will reply to the RREQs, these RREQs will flood the whole network. As a result, all of the node battery power, as well as network bandwidth will be

Consumed and could lead to denial-of-service. A simple mechanism proposed to prevent the flooding attack in the AODV protocol. In this

Approach, each node monitors and calculates has an Optimum route and causes other good the rate of its neighbors' RREQ. If the RREQ nodes to route data packets through the rate of any neighbor exceeds the predefined malicious one. For example, in AODV, the threshold, the node records the ID of this attacker can send a fake RREP (including a fake neighbor in a blacklist. Then, the node drops destination Sequence number that is fabricated any future RREQs from nodes that are listed in to be equal or higher than the one contained in the blacklist. The limitation of this approach is the RREQ) to the source node, claiming that it that it cannot prevent against the flooding has a sufficiently fresh route to the destination attack in which the flooding rate is below the node. This causes the source node to select the threshold. Another drawback of this approach route that passes through the attacker. is that if a malicious node impersonates the ID Therefore, all traffic will be routed through the of a legitimate node and broadcasts a large attacker, and therefore, the attacker can misuse number of RREQs, other nodes might put the or discard the traffic. Figure 4 shows an ID of this legitimate node on the blacklist by example of a blackhole attack, where attacker a mistake. In the authors show that a flooding sends a fake RREP to the source node S, attack can decrease throughput by 84 percent. claiming that it has a sufficiently fresher route The authors proposed an adaptive technique to than mitigate the effect of a flooding attack in the advertised sequence number is higher than

statistical analysis to detect malicious RREQ floods and avoid the forwarding of such packets.

Similar to, in this approach, each node monitors the RREQ it receives and maintains a count of RREQs received from each sender during the preset time period. The RREQs from a sender whose RREQ rate is above the threshold will be dropped without forwarding. Unlike the method proposed, where the threshold is set to be fixed, this approach determines the threshold based on a statistical analysis of RREQs. The key advantage of this approach is that it can reduce the impact of the attack for varying flooding rates.

### 3.2 Black hole Attack

In a blackhole attack, a malicious node sends fake routing information, claiming that it other nodes. Since the attacker's



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

**ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

other nodes' sequence numbers, the source packet arrives from more than two nodes. node S will choose the route that passes Upon receiving multiple RREPs, the source through node A.



### Figure 1: Blackhole Attack on AODV

The route confirmation request (CREQ) and route confirmation reply (CREP) is introduced into avoid the blackhole attack. In this approach, the intermediate node not only sends RREPs to the source node but also sends CREQs to its next-hop node toward the destination node. After receiving a CREQ, the next-hop node looks up its cache for a route to the destination. If it has the route, it sends the CREP to the source. Upon receiving the CREP, the source node can confirm the validity of the path by comparing the path in RREP and the one in CREP. If both are matched, the source node judges that the route is correct. One drawback of this approach is that it cannot avoid the blackhole attack in which two consecutive nodes work in collusion, that is, when the next-hop node is a colluding attacker sending CREPs that support the incorrect path. In, the authors proposed a solution that requires a source node to wait until a RREP

node checks whether there is a shared hop or not. If there is, the source node judges that the route is safe. The main drawback of this solution is that it introduces time delay, because it must wait until multiple RREPs arrive. In another attempt, the authors analyzed the blackhole attack and showed that a malicious node must increase the destination sequence number sufficiently to convince the source node that the route provided is sufficiently enough. Based on this analysis, the authors propose a statistical based anomaly detection approach to detect the blackhole attack, based on differences between the destination sequence numbers of the received RREPs. The key advantage of this approach is that it can detect the attack at low cost without introducing extra routing traffic, and it does not require modification of the existing protocol. However, false positives are the main drawback of this approach due to the nature of anomaly detection.

### 3.3 Link Spoofing Attack

In a link spoofing attack, a malicious node advertises fake links with non-neighbors to disrupt routing operations. For example, in the OLSR protocol, an attacker can advertise a fake link with a target's two-hop neighbors. This causes the target node to select the malicious node to be its MPR. As an MPR node, a malicious node can then manipulate data or routing traffic, for example, modifying or dropping the routing traffic or performing other types of DoS attacks. Figure 2 shows an example of the link spoofing attack in an OLSR



MANET. In the figure, we assume that node A equipped with a GPS. Furthermore, attackers D. According to the OLSR protocol, node T will choose it as the routing traffic generated by node T.





A location information-based detection method is proposed to detect link spoofing 3.4 Wormhole Attack attack by using cryptography with a GPS and a time stamp. This approach requires each node sophisticated and severe attacks in MANETs. to advertise its position obtained by the GPS In this attack, a pair of colluding attackers and the time stamp to enable each node to record packets at one location and replay them obtain the location information of the other at another location using a private high speed nodes. This approach detects the link spoofing network. The seriousness of this attack is that it by calculating the distance between two nodes can be launched against all communications that claim to be neighbors and checking the that provide authenticity and confidentiality. likelihood that the link is based on a maximum Figure 3 shows an example of the wormhole transmission range. The main drawback of this attack against a reactive routing protocol. In the approach is that it might not work in a figure, we assume that nodes A1 and A2 are situation where all MANET nodes are not two colluding attackers and that node S is the

is the attacking node, and node T is the target can still advertise false information and make it to be attacked. Before the attack, both nodes A hard for other nodes to detect the attack In, the and E are MPRs for node T. During the link authors show that a malicious node that spoofing attack, node A advertises a fake link advertises fake links with a target's two-hop with node T's two-hop neighbor, that is, node neighbors can successfully make the target the only MPR. Through select the malicious node A as its only MPR simulations, the authors show that link since node A is the minimum set that reaches spoofing can have a devastating impact on the node T's two-hop neighbors. By being node T's target node. Then, the authors present a only MPR, node A can then drop or withhold technique to detect the link spoofing attack by adding two-hop information to a HELLO message. In particular, the proposed solution requires each node to advertise its two-hop Neighbors to enable each node to learn complete topology up to three hops and detect the inconsistency when the link spoofing attack is launched. The main advantage of this approach is that it can detect the link spoofing attack without using special hardware such as a GPS or requiring time synchronization. One limitation of this approach is that it might not detect link spoofing with nodes further away

than three hops.

A wormhole attack is one of the most



## **Reference ID: IJCS-457**

PAGE NO: 3100-3113

target to be attacked. During the attack, when packet. The authors also proposed TIK, which source node S broadcasts an RREQ to find a is used to authenticate the expiration time that route to a destination node



### Figure 3: Wormhole Attack on Reactive Routing

D, its neighbors C and E forward the RREQ as usual. However, node A1, which received the RREQ, forwarded by node C, records and tunnels the RREQ to its colluding partner A2. Then, node A2 rebroadcasts this RREQ to its neighbor H. Since this RREQ passed through a highspeed channel, this RREQ will reach node D first. Therefore, node D will choose route D-H-C-S to unicast an RREP to the source node S and ignore the same RREQ that arrived later. As a result, S will select route S-H-D that indeed passed through A1 and A2 to send its data.

In [13], packet leashes are proposed to detect and defend against the wormhole attack. In particular, the authors proposed two types of leashes: temporal leashes and geographical Leashes. For the temporal leash approach, each node computes the packet expiration time, te, Based on the speed of light c and includes the expiration time, *te*, in its packet to prevent the Packet from traveling further than a specific distance, *L*. The receiver of the packet checks Whether or not the packet expires by comparing its current time and the te in the

can otherwise be modified by the malicious node. The main drawback of the temporal leash is that it requires all nodes to have tightly synchronized clocks. For the geographical leash, each node must know its own position and have loosely synchronized clocks. In this approach, a sender of a packet includes its current position and the sending time.

Therefore, a receiver can judge neighbor relations by computing distance between itself and the sender of the packet. The advantage of Geographic leashes over temporal leashes is that the time synchronization needs not to be highly tight. In, the authors offer protection against a wormhole attack in the OLSR protocol. This approach is based on location information and requires the deployment of a public key infrastructure and time-stamp synchronization between all nodes that is similar to the geographic leashes. In this approach, a sender of a HELLO message includes its current position and current time in its HELLO message. Upon receiving a HELLO message from a neighbor, a node calculates the distance between itself and its neighbor, based on a position provided in the HELLO message. If the distance is more than the maximum transmission range, the node judges that the HELLO message is highly suspicious and might be tunneled by a wormhole attack. In, the authors propose a statistical analysis of multipath (SAM), which is an approach to detect the wormhole attack by using multipath routing. This approach determines the attack by calculating the relative frequency of each



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

**ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

ink that appears in all of the obtained routes from one route discovery. In this solution, a approach might detect this type of attack in a link that has the highest relative frequency is of this approach is that it introduces limited

Overhead when applied in multipath routing. ACK, this could lead to a large overhead, However, it might not work in a non-multipath which is considered to be inefficient. In , the routing protocol, such as a pure AODV protocol.

### 3.5 Colluding Misrelay Attack

In colluding misrelay attack, multiple attackers work in collusion to modify or drop routing packets to disrupt routing operation in a MANET. This attack is difficult to detect by using the conventional methods such as watchdog and pathrater. Figure 4 shows an example of this attack. Consider the case where node A1 forwards routing packets for node T. In the figure, the first attacker A1 forwards routing packets as usual to avoid being detected by node T. However, the second attacker A2 drops or modifies these routing packets. In the authors discuss this type of attack in OLSR protocol and show that a pair of malicious nodes can disrupt up to 100 percent of data packets in the OLSR MANET.



**Figure 4: Colluding Misrelay Attack** 

A conventional acknowledgment-based MANET, especially in a proactive MANET, but Identified as the wormhole link. The advantage because routing packets destined to all nodes in the network require all nodes to return an author proposes a method to detect an attack in which multiple malicious nodes attempt to drop packets by requiring each node to tune their transmission power when they forward packets. As an example, the author studies the case where two colluding attackers drop packets. The proposed solution requires each node to increase its transmission power twice to detect such an attack. However, this approach might not detect the attack in which three colluding attackers work in collusion. In general, the main drawback of this approach is that even if we require each node to increase transmission power to be K times, we still cannot detect the attack in which K + 1 attackers work in collusion to drop packets. Therefore, further work must be done to counter against this type of attack efficiently.

### 4. Summary

A MANET is a promising network technology which is based on a self-organized and rapidly deployed network. Due to its great features, MANET attracts different real world application areas where the networks topology changes very quickly. However, many researchers are trying remove main to weaknesses of MANET such as limited bandwidth, battery power, computational Power, and security. Although, we have only discussed the security issues in this paper,



ISSN: 2348-6600



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.



**ISSN: 2348 PAGE NO: 3100-3113** 

Particularly routing attacks and its existing accessible to both legitimate users countermeasures. The existing solutions of wire networks cannot be applied separate the inside network from the outside directly to MANET, which makes a MANET world becomes blurred. Device with weak much more vulnerable to security attacks. In protection: portable devices, as well as the this paper, we have discussed current routing system security information they store, are attacks and countermeasures against MANET vulnerable to compromises. protocols. Some solutions that rely on cryptography and key management seem for MANET, especially for those selectingpromising, but they are too expensive for sensitive applications, have to meet the resource constrained in MANET.

tradeoffs between effectiveness and efficiency. survivability of the network services despite Some solutions work well in the presence of Denial of Service (DoS) attacks. A DoS attack one malicious node, they might not be could be launched at any layer of ad hoc applicable in the presence of multiple colluding network. On the physical and media access attackers. In addition, some may require control layers, an adversary could employ special hardware such as a GPS or a jamming to interfere with communication on modification to the existing protocol. Because physical channels. The security service is of the characteristic of dynamic wireless highly available on the network layer at network, MANET presents the following set of anytime and at anywhere. On the higher layers, unique challenges to secure. Dynamic network: an adversary could bring down high-level the topology of MANETs is highly dynamic as services. Efficiency: the solution should be mobile nodes freely roam in network, join or efficient in terms of communication overhead, leave the network on their own will, and fail energy consumption and computationally occasionally. The wireless channel is also affordable by a portable device. Authentication: subject to interferences and errors, exhibiting enables a mobile node to ensure the identity of volatile characteristics in terms of bandwidth the peer node it is communicating with. and delay. Mobile users roaming in the Without authentication, an attacker would network may request for anytime, anywhere impersonate a node, thus gaining unauthorized security services. Resource constraints: the access to resource and sensitive information wireless channel is bandwidth constrained and and interfering with the operation of other shared among multiple networking entities. nodes. Integrity: guarantees that a message The computation and energy resources of a being transmitted is never corrupted. A mobile node are also constrained. No clear line message could be corrupted because of being of defense: MANET has not offer a clear line of failures, such as radio propagation impairment, defense. Moreover, the wireless channel is or because of malicious attacks on the network.

and security malicious attackers. The boundary that

Security solutions are important issues following design goals while addressing the They still not perfect in terms of above challenges. Availability: ensures the



Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

**ISSN: 234** PAGE NO: 3100-3113

Confidentiality: that certain ensures information is never disclosed to unauthorized [7] B. Kannhavong, H. Nakayama, Y. Nemoto, entities. Network transmission of sensitive information, such as strategic or tactical military information, requires confidentiality. Non-repudiation: ensures that the original message cannot deny having sent the message. [8] B. Kannhavong et al., "A Collusion Attack Nonrepudiation is useful for detection and isolation of compromised mobile nodes.

### 5. References

- [1] M. Al-Shurman, S-M. Yoo, and S. Park, "Black Hole Attack in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," ACM Southeast Regional Conf. 2004.
- [2] L. R. Ford Jr. and D. R. Fulkerson, Flows in Networks, Princeton Univ. Press, 1962.
- [3] C.-C. Chiang, "Routing in Clustered Multihop, Mobile Wireless Networks with Fading Channel," Proc. / E€€ SICON '97, Apr. 1997, pp. 197-21 1.
- [4] Th. Clausen et al., "Optimized Link State Routing Protocol," IETF Internet draft, draft-ietfmanet- olsr-11.txt, July 2003.
- [5] S. Desilva, and R. V. Boppana, "Mitigating Malicious Control Packet Floods in Ad Hoc Networks," Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. And Networking Conf., New Orleans, LA, 2005.
- [6] C. R. Dow, P. J. Lin, S. C. Chen\*, J. H. Lin\*, and S. F. Hwang. A Study of Recent Research Experimental Trends and Guidelines in Mobile. Ad-hoc Networks. 19th International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications, 2005. AINA 2005,

*Volume: 1, On page(s): 72- 77 vol.1.* 

- N. Kato, A. Jamalipour. A survey of routing attacks in mobile ad hoc networks. Security in wireless mobile ad hoc and sensor networks, October 2007, page, 85-91
- Against OLSR-Based Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," IEEE GLOBECOM '06.
- [9] Z. Karakehayov, "Using REWARD to Detect Team Black-Hole Attacks in Wireless Sensor Networks," Wksp. Real-World Wireless Sensor Networks, June 20-21, 2005.
- [10] S. Kurosawa et al., "Detecting Blackhole Attack on AODV-Based Mobile Ad Hoc Networks by Dynamic Learning Method," Proc. Int'l. J. Network Sec., 2006.
- [11] D. Johnson and D. Maltz, "Dynamic Source Routing in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks," Mobile Computing, T. Imielinski and H. Korth, Ed., pp. 153-81. Kluwer, 1996.
- [12] Jyoti Raju and J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, " A comparison of On-Demand and Table-Driven Routing for Ad Hoc Wireless etworks'," in Proceeding of IEEE ICC, June 2000.
- [13] Y-C. Hu, A. Perrig, and D. Johnson, "Wormhole Attacks in Wireless Networks," IEEE JSAC, vol. 24, no. 2, Feb. 2006.
- [14] IEEE Std. 802.11, "Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications," 1997.

# International Journal of Computer Science

Scholarly Peer Reviewed Research Journal - PRESS - OPEN ACCESS

### ISSN: 2348-6600



### http://www.ijcsjournal.com **Reference ID: IJCS-457**

Volume 11, Issue 1, No 1, 2023.

**ISSN: 2348 PAGE NO: 3100-3113** 

- [15] S. Lee, B. Han, and M. Shin, "Robust Routing in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks," 2002 Int'l. Conf. Parallel Processing [24] C.K.Toh, Wksps., Vancouver, Canada, Aug. 18-21, 2002.
- [16] S. Marti et al., "Mitigating Routing [25] P. Yi et al., "A New Routing Attack in Misbehavior in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," 6th MobiCom, Boston, MA, Aug. 2000.
- [17] V. D. Park and M. S. Corson, "A Highly [26] M. G. Zapata and N. Asokan, "Securing Adaptive Distributed Routing Algorithm for Mobile Wireless Networks," Proc. INFOCOM '97, Apr. 1997.
- [18] C. E. Perkins and P. Bhagwat, "Highly Dynamic Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector Routing (DSDV) for Mobile Computers," Comp. Com- mun. Rev., Oct. 1994, pp. 234-44.
- [19] C. Perkins and E Royer, "Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing," 2nd IEEE Wksp. Mobile Comp. Sys. and Apps., 1999.
- [20] C. Perkins, E. Belding-Royer, and S. Das, "Ad Hoc On demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing," IETF RFC 3561, July 2003.
- [21] L. Qian, N. Song, and X. Li, "Detecting and Locating Wormhole Attacks in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks Through Statistical Analysis of Multi-path," IEEE Wireless Commun. And Networking Conf. '05.
- [22] D. Raffo et al., "Securing OLSR Using Locations," Proc. Node 2005 Euro. Wireless, Nicosia, Cyprus, Apr. 10-13, 2005.
- [23] K. Sanzgiri et al., "A Secure Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Networks," Proc. 2002

IEEE Int'l. Conf. Network Protocols, Nov. 2002.

- "Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks: Protocols and Systems," Prentice Hall Publications, 2002.
- Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," Int'l. J. Info. Tech., vol. 11, no. 2, 2005.
- Ad-Hoc Routing Protocols," Proc. 2002 ACM Wksp. Wireless Sec., Sept. 2002, pp. 1-10.